



BOARD ORDER

IN THE MATTER OF

STATE OF MAINE) APPLICATION FOR
BUREAU OF GENERAL SERVICES) MAINE HAZARDOUS WASTE, SEPTAGE AND
JUNIPER RIDGE LANDFILL EXPANSION) SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT ACT, and
City of Old Town, Town of Alton) NATURAL RESOURCES PROTECTION ACT
Penobscot County, Maine) PERMITS and
#S-020700-WD-BI-N) WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION
#L-024251-TG-C-N)
) SECOND PROCEDURAL ORDER

On August 7, 2015 the Department of Environmental Protection (Department or DEP) accepted as complete for processing an application submitted by the State of Maine, Bureau of General Services (Applicant) for expansion of the Juniper Ridge Landfill in the City of Old Town and Town of Alton. The Board of Environmental Protection (Board) assumed jurisdiction over the application on September 17, 2015 and determined that it would conduct a public hearing on the application, as required under 38 M.R.S. §1310-S(2).

On February 10, 2016, the Board held a pre-hearing conference at the Cross Office Building in Augusta, Maine. The purpose of the pre-hearing conference was to review the procedural rules that will be followed in preparation for and during the hearing; the roles and responsibilities of the Applicant, intervenors, and Department staff; and the relevant licensing criteria. This procedural order documents the discussions at the conference and the rulings of the Board Chair, sitting as the Presiding Officer.

Participants:

James Parker, Board Chair and Presiding Officer
Mary Sauer, Assistant Attorney General (AAG)
Emily Green, AAG (by phone)
Cynthia Bertocci, Board Executive Analyst
Ruth Ann Burke, Board Admin. Assistant
Leslie Anderson, Acting Director DEP Bureau of
Remediation and Waste Management (BRWM)
David Burns, DEP BRWM
Victoria Eleftheriou, DEP BRWM
Kathy Tarbuck, DEP BRWM Project Manager
Paula Clark, DEP BRWM
James Beyer, DEP Land Bureau
Lynn Caron, DEP Land Bureau
Michael Barden, Dept. of Econ. & Comm. Dev.
for Bureau of General Services
William Laubenstein, III, AAG
for Bureau of General Services

Thomas Doyle, Pierce Atwood
for NEWSME Landfill Operations, LLC
(NEWSME)
Brian Rayback, Pierce Atwood
for NEWSME
Don Meagher, Casella and NEWSME
Michael Booth, Sevee & Maher for NEWSME
Jeremy Labbe, Casella and NEWSME
Toni King, Casella
Jim Katsiaficas, Perkins Thompson
for intervenor City of Old Town
Denis St. Peter, CES Inc. for City of Old Town
Dana Snowman, Intervenor
Edward Spencer, Intervenor
Chip Laite, Sargent Corp.
for intervenor SSR, LLC
Jesse Pekkala, Intervenor (by phone)

1. Applicable Procedural Rules

The Board will conduct the proceeding in accordance with the provisions of the Maine Administrative Procedure Act (MAPA) governing adjudicatory proceedings (5 M.R.S. §§ 9051-9064), the Department's *Rule Concerning the Processing of Applications and Other Administrative Matters*, 06-096 CMR ch. 2 (Chapter 2) and *Rules Governing the Conduct of Licensing Hearings*, 06-096 CMR ch. 3 (Chapter 3). The parties should familiarize themselves with these provisions of statute and rule. Copies of Chapter 3 were distributed to the parties.

2. Service List, Filing Requirements and Attendance

The parties to this proceeding include the Applicant and the intervenors. All parties are required to comply with the deadlines and filing requirements established by the Presiding Officer.

Service List: Whenever a party files a document with the Board or the Department, the party must send a copy to each person on the most recent service list. The current service list is attached to this order. Department staff, Board staff, and counsel to the Board will copy all parties on procedural orders, formal decisions of the Presiding Officer, agency review comments, and other similar documents. If any party wants to change its designated representative, the party shall notify all other persons on the service list. Board staff will distribute revisions to the service list periodically as necessary.

Filings: Filings with the Board should be directed to James Parker, c/o Ruth Ann Burke as specified on the service list and received by 5 p.m. on the due date. Filings should be made electronically, in PDF format if possible, and served on all parties at the same time the documents are filed with the Board. Notwithstanding Chapter 3, § 3(D), unless otherwise specified, a follow-up paper copy is not required. The Board will require paper copies of pre-filed testimony, the number of which will be specified at a later date. Submissions not received by the Board by a prescribed deadline will be deemed untimely and may not be accepted, absent a showing of good cause. The risk of material not being received in a timely manner is on the sender.

Comments on the application and the Applicant's response to agency review comments on the application should be directed to Kathy Tarbuck, DEP Project Manager, with an electronic copy to persons on the service list.

The application and documents related to this licensing proceeding are available on-line for public review at www.maine.gov/dep/projects.html under "Juniper Ridge Landfill application for expansion."

Attendance: A representative of the Applicant and each intervenor shall participate in the pre-hearing conferences and the hearing, and shall adhere to all schedules and deadlines. If unforeseen circumstances make attendance at a conference or a session of the hearing impossible, parties are asked to notify Ms. Bertocci or Ms. Burke in advance of the proceeding and to send a substitute who can speak on behalf of the absent party. A party that does not participate in a conference waives its right to object to matters discussed or decided at the conference unless the Presiding Officer determines that the party has demonstrated good cause for its absence.

3. Role and Authority of the Presiding Officer

The role of the Presiding Officer is set forth in 5 M.R.S. § 9062 and Chapter 3, § 4. The Presiding Officer may vary from any procedure described in Chapter 3 or the MAPA chapter 375, subchapter IV, if the parties and the Presiding Officer agree to such variation, or if the variance will achieve greater fairness or economy and no prejudice to any party will result (5 M.R.S. § 9053(4) and Chapter 3, § 4(C)(11)). Certain rulings of the Presiding Officer such as those pertaining to the relevant statutes and rules, the admissibility of evidence, and the issues to be heard may be appealed to the full Board (see Chapter 3, § 4(D)). Any such appeal will be considered by the Board at a regular Board meeting. An appeal of the Presiding Officer's ruling is not required to preserve a party's objection for the purpose of judicial appeal.

4. Role and Responsibilities of the Parties and Department Staff

Applicant: Under provisions of Chapter 2, § 11(F), the Applicant has the burden of proof to affirmatively demonstrate that each of the licensing criteria in statute and rule is met. While all licensing criteria must be met, the Presiding Officer has authority to limit the issues to be addressed at the hearing if the parties and the Presiding Officer agree to such limitation or if no prejudice to any party will result (5 M.R.S. § 9053(4) and Chapter 3, § 4(C)(11)). The Board intends to focus the hearing on the issues that are in dispute. For issues that are not disputed, the Board does not intend to require pre-filed testimony; rather, the Applicant may rely on the information contained in the application and any supplemental submissions filed in response to comments received. Any narrowing of issues for the purpose of the hearing will be discussed at a future conference.

Intervenors: Under provisions of Chapter 3, § 11(A), an intervenor is a party to the proceeding and has the right to offer testimony and evidence and conduct cross-examination. At the hearing, each intervenor is required to present its case during the time allotted for parties and not during the portion of the hearing that will be reserved for testimony from the general public. Intervenors are required to copy the Applicant and the other parties on all documents and correspondence they file with the Board. Intervenors will be required to file written testimony of their witnesses in advance of the hearing, and their witnesses must be available at the hearing for cross-examination. An intervenor may elect not to present testimony or evidence, but reserve the right to cross-examine the witnesses of the Applicant and other parties.

Interested Persons: Interested persons receive the following information regarding the hearing: all procedural orders; notice of all meetings at which the Board will take an official action in the matter (including a copy of the Board agenda, Department memorandum, and draft proposed order); public hearing notices; notice of opportunity to comment on the draft decision document; and a copy of the final decision. Distribution of these documents is the responsibility of Board staff.

Interested persons may submit comment on the application at any time until the close of the evidentiary record and may testify at the portion of the hearing reserved to receive testimony from the general public. Interested persons do not have the right of cross-examination but may, at the Presiding Officer's discretion, be allowed to ask a specific question of a witness through the Presiding Officer.

General Public: The general public may submit comments on the application at any time until the close of the evidentiary record, which generally occurs at the close of the hearing. The entire hearing is open to the public. It is the practice of the Board to receive testimony from the parties during the daytime sessions and to reserve an evening session to receive testimony from members of the general public. Public testimony is sworn and persons testifying are subject to questioning by Board members and examination by the parties. At the Presiding Officer's discretion, members of the public may be allowed to ask a specific question of a witness through the Presiding Officer.

Department Staff: The role of Department staff entails gathering facts on behalf of the Board, which includes asking questions of witnesses at the hearing. At the conclusion of the hearing, Department staff will analyze the record, review the record with Board members in one or more deliberative sessions, and draft a recommendation (in the form of a draft Board Order) for the Board's consideration. Deliberative sessions are held during Board meetings and any person may attend. However, participation in the deliberative session is limited to Board members and staff; no additional evidence or argument is heard at a deliberative session.

5. Relevant Licensing Criteria

Summary lists of relevant provisions of the *Maine Hazardous Waste, Septage and Solid Waste Management Act*, *Solid Waste Management and Recycling Law*, and *Natural Resources Protection Act* (NRPA) and associated applicable rules were reviewed at the conference and are appended to this order. These lists may not contain all statutes and rules applicable to the application.

With respect to the solid waste criteria, the Public Benefit Determination required by 38 M.R.S. § 1310-N(3-A) and § 1310-AA has been issued and is not subject to review in this licensing proceeding. The Public Benefit Determination for the proposed expansion was upheld by the Board on appeal, and the statute prohibits the Board from revisiting that decision in this licensing proceeding. Parties are cautioned not to attempt to re-argue in this licensing proceeding issues already decided as part of that determination.

With respect to the NRPA criteria, Department staff noted that the Applicant has obtained a permit-by-rule pursuant to Chapter 305 of the Department's rules for impacts from the proposed electrical line to the critical habitat surrounding a significant vernal pool. The permit-by-rule was not appealed; therefore, these impacts are not subject to review in the current licensing proceeding. Department staff also noted that the application requires a Tier 3 permit and compensation for wetland impacts in accordance with Chapter 310 of the Department's rules as well as a separate permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for impacts to wetlands.

The attorney for NEWSME asked for a determination on the relevancy of alleged impacts to personal property values as set forth in Intervenor Spencer's petition to intervene. Prior to the hearing, the parties will be asked to submit a list of issues that they want to address at the hearing. Objections and concerns regarding the relevancy of those issues may be discussed at that time.

Parties are advised to demonstrate how written testimony and exhibits relate to specific licensing criteria. Irrelevant evidence may be excluded pursuant to MAPA, 5 M.R.S. § 9057(2) and Chapter 3, § 20(A).

In response to a request by the AAG for the Bureau of General Services, the Presiding Officer confirmed that the terms of the Operating Services Agreement between the State and Casella Waste Systems, Inc. are not subject to review in this licensing proceeding.

6. Pre-Filed Testimony and Availability of Witnesses

Requirement to Pre-File: All parties will be required to pre-file the testimony of their witnesses by a specified deadline. It is the responsibility of each party to ensure its filings are copied to all persons on the most recent service list. The requirement of pre-filed testimony allows Board members, staff, Board counsel, and the parties to review testimony in advance of the hearing and come to the hearing prepared to conduct efficient and focused cross-examination. Written testimony must be sworn. For expert witnesses, their credentials should be submitted with their pre-filed testimony. Exhibits must be filed along with relevant testimony. The Board will not accept links to documents; the documents, or relevant portions thereof, must be submitted as exhibits.

Availability of Witnesses at the Hearing: All witnesses submitting written, pre-filed testimony shall be present in person at the hearing for cross-examination. Any scheduling constraints or other limitations concerning a witness's ability to appear at the hearing should be considered when selecting witnesses. In accordance with 5 M.R.S. § 9057(5), "no sworn written evidence shall be admitted unless the author is available for cross-examination or subject to subpoena, except for good cause shown."

Evidence: The issue arose as to whether scientific papers or expert reports can be used as exhibits in support of a party's sworn testimony and, if so, whether the author of the exhibit must appear for cross-examination.

The requirement for witnesses to appear for cross-examination does not preclude the submission of scientific papers or other information which is in the public domain as an exhibit. However, parties are cautioned not to attach an exhibit to their testimony in an attempt to circumvent the requirement that a witness appear for cross-examination. If a report submitted as an exhibit is specific to the Juniper Ridge Landfill application for expansion, the party is advised to make the author available for cross-examination. Parties are advised to consult the MAPA, 5 M.R.S. § 9057 and Chapter 3, § 20 regarding evidence.

7. Site Visit

The Board intends to conduct a site visit prior to the hearing. The details of the site visit will be discussed at a later date.

8. Schedule

Statute requires that prior to holding a hearing on an application the Board shall ensure that the Department and any outside agency review staff assisting the Department in its review of the application have submitted to the Applicant their review comments and any additional information requests pertaining to the application and that the Applicant has had an opportunity to respond to those comments and requests (38 M.R.S. § 341-D(2)).

The Department issued its initial round of comments on January 22, 2016. Mr. Doyle stated that he anticipates that the Applicant will file its response to these comments by the end of the first week in March. There will likely then be several weeks of additional discussion between the Applicant and Department staff regarding information needs.

In response to a question regarding the status of the City of Old Town's review, the city's attorney stated that the City has retained CES, Inc. to review the application. The City will need until May 1 to complete its review of the application, consult with the City's Solid Waste Facility Review Committee and City Counsel, and identify the issues that it would like to address at the hearing.

Intervenor Spencer stated that the Applicant's response to the Department's review comments and the City of Old Town's review will inform his consideration of the issues.

The Board's Executive Analyst noted that, following the hearing, there will be a period of three to four months for submission of post hearing briefs, one or more Board deliberative sessions, the drafting of a proposed order for review and comment, and Board decision on the application.

Given the complexity of the application and the time needed for the City's review of the application, the Board anticipates that the hearing will be held in early fall.

9. Participation by Abutters and Consolidation of Intervenors

Intervenor Spencer asked about participation by abutters and whether the Board intends to consolidate intervenors.

Abutters have been notified of their right to intervene in the proceeding. They have also been given the opportunity to request interested persons status. Absent a request to intervene or to be added to the list of interested persons, the next communication from the Board to abutters will be the notice of hearing. Abutters requesting intervenor status no later than ten days following public notice of the hearing have the right to intervene. If any abutter decides to intervene near or after the deadlines for pre-filed testimony and exhibits, the Presiding Officer will determine, in consultation with the Attorney General's Office, the procedures to be followed consistent with applicable statutes and rules.

With respect to consolidation of intervenors, Chapter 3, § 11(A)(6) authorizes the Presiding Officer to require intervenors to consolidate the presentation of evidence and argument, in part or in whole, if their interests or contentions are "substantially similar and such consolidation would expedite or simplify the hearing without prejudice to the rights of any party." The Board's Executive Analyst noted that, in instances where the Board has consolidated intervenors, the Board has allowed the intervenors to distribute the workload among themselves and has not required a single spokesperson to provide all of the testimony or conduct all of the cross-examination. However, intervenors must present their testimony during the portion of the hearing designated for that purpose and not during the portion of the hearing reserved for interested persons and the general public. Intervenors are encouraged to discuss consolidation among themselves. Consolidation may be ordered following identification of issues for hearing.

If an intervenor, for any reason, no longer wants to participate at the level of intervenor, that person may notify the Presiding Officer and the Board's Executive Analyst, and instead participate as an interested person or a member of the general public.

10. Ex-parte Communications

The Board's decision on the application must be made based on evidence that is in the record and available to all. Therefore, as set forth in the MAPA, 5 M.R.S. § 9055, and Chapter 3, § 6, the parties may not communicate directly or indirectly with any member of the Board in connection with any issue of fact, law or procedure pertaining to this licensing proceeding while the matter is pending except upon notice and opportunity for all parties to participate, such as during the hearing and any pre-hearing conference. The parties may communicate with Department staff, counsel to the Board, the Board's Executive Analyst, and the Board's Administrative Assistant.

This Order establishes the following schedule:

1. By Friday, May 13, 2016, each intervenor shall submit a list of issues that the intervenor wants to address at the hearing, identifying the issues for which the intervenor intends to present testimony including any expert witnesses.
2. The parties shall reserve Wednesday, May 18, 2016 for a pre-hearing conference to discuss, among other things, narrowing the issues for hearing and consolidation of intervenors.
3. Any appeal from this order to the full Board must be filed by Friday, March 4, 2016 and will be considered by the full Board at its meeting on March 17, 2016.

DONE AND DATED AT AUGUSTA, MAINE THIS 25th DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2016.

BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



BY: _____
James W. Parker, Board Chair
and Presiding Officer

Juniper Ridge Landfill Expansion Application
S-020700-WD-BI-N and L-024251-TG-C-N
Pre-hearing Conference, February 9, 2016

Relevant Licensing Criteria – Solid Waste Landfill Standards

This list of relevant solid waste landfill licensing criteria has been compiled by Department staff in summary form for ease of reference to pertinent statutes and rules. The specific language and requirements in the applicable rules and statutes supersedes the summaries in this document.

I. Title 38, Chapter 2, Subchapter 1, Department Of Environmental Protection Organization And Powers, 38 M.R.S. §§ 341-A – 349-B, as applicable

Applicable provisions include, but are not limited to:

- § 341-D. Board responsibilities and duties
- § 344. Processing of applications
- § 345-A. Hearings

II. Maine Hazardous Waste, Septage, and Solid Waste Management Act, 38 M.R.S. §§ 1301 - 1310-AA, as applicable

Applicable provisions include, but are not limited to:

- § 1302. Declaration of Policy
- § 1303-C. Definitions
- § 1304-B. Delivery of solid wastes to specific waste facilities
- § 1306. Prohibition
- § 1310-N. Solid waste facility licenses
 - § 1310-N(1). Licenses
 - § 1310-N(1-A). Surface water protection
 - § 1310-N(2-A). Aquifer protection
 - § 1310-N(2-F). Siting standards
 - § 1310-N(3-A). Public benefit determination *[already obtained]*
 - § 1310-N(5-A). Recycling and source reduction determination
 - § 1310-N(7). Criminal or civil record
 - § 1310-N(9). Host Community agreements *[already addressed]*
 - § 1310-N(11). Waste generated within the state
 - § 1310-N(12). Citizen advisory committee notification
- § 1310-S. Public and local participation
- § 1310-Y. Financial assurance
- § 1310-AA. Public benefit determination *[already obtained]*

III. Solid Waste Management and Recycling Law, 38 M.R.S. §2101

- §2101. Solid waste management hierarchy

IV. Solid Waste Management Rules: General Provisions, 06-096 CMR 400 (amended April 6, 2015), as applicable

Applicable provisions include, but are not limited to:

- Section 1 – Definitions
- Section 2 – Applicability
- Section 3 – Solid Waste Licensing Process
- Section 4 – General Licensing Criteria
 - A. Title, Right or Interest
 - B. Financial Ability
 - C. Technical Ability
 - D. Provisions for Traffic Movement
 - E. Fitting the Solid Waste Facility Harmoniously into the Natural Environment
 - F. No Unreasonable Adverse Effect on Existing Uses and Scenic Character
 - G. No Unreasonable Adverse Effect on Air Quality
 - H. No Unreasonable Adverse Effect on Surface Water Quality
 - I. No Unreasonable Adverse Effect on Other Natural Resources
 - J. Soil Types That Are Suitable and Will Not Cause Unreasonable Erosion
 - K. No Unreasonable Risk That a Discharge to a Significant Ground Water Aquifer Will Occur
 - L. Adequate Provision for Utilities and No Unreasonable Adverse Effect on Existing or Proposed Utilities
 - M. Not Unreasonably Cause or Increase Flooding
 - N. Solid Waste Management Hierarchy
- Section 5 – Public Benefit Determination *[already obtained]*
- Section 6 – Recycling
- Section 7 – Host Community Agreements and Municipal Intervenor Grants
 - B (j). Right of Municipal Intervenors to Gain Entry
- Section 8 – Right of Entry *[applies to Department staff]*
- Section 9 – Hazardous and Special Waste Handling and Exclusion Plan
- Section 10 – Liability Insurance
- Section 11– Financial Assurance for Solid Waste Disposal Facility Closure and Post-Closure Care and Corrective Action
- Section 12 – Criminal or Civil Record
- Section 13 – Variances

V. Solid Waste Management Rules: Landfill Siting, Design and Operation, 06-096 CMR 401 (amended April 12, 2015), as applicable

Applicable provisions include, but are not limited to:

- Section 1 – General Licensing Requirements
 - A. Applicability

- B. Two Stage Process for Licensing Landfills [*Preliminary Information Report (PIR) completed*]
 - C. Performance Standards and Siting Criteria
 - D. General Requirements
 - E. Preliminary Information Reports and Other Pre-Application Requirements [*completed*]
- Section 2 – Application Requirements
- A. General Information
 - B. Site Specific Investigation
 - C. Site Assessment Report
 - D. Design Standards for Landfills
 - E. Alternative Design Process
 - F. Engineering Report for Landfills
 - G. Contaminated Transport Analysis
 - H. Plan View and Profile View Drawings
 - I. Quality Assurance Plan
 - J. Construction Contract Bid Documents
 - K. Water Quality Report and Proposed Monitoring Program [*see 06-096 CMR 405, section 2*]
 - L. Operations Manual [*see 06-096 CMR 401, section 4*]
- Section 3 – Landfill Construction [*applies post licensing decision*]
- Section 4 – Landfill Operations
- A. Operations Manual
 - B. Operator Training and Certification Program
 - C. Operating Requirements
- Section 5 – Landfill Closure [*separate approval at time of closure*]
- Section 6 – Post-Closure Monitoring and Maintenance [*separate approval at time of closure*]

VI. Solid Waste Management Rules: Water Quality Monitoring, Leachate Monitoring, and Waste Characterization, 06-096 CMR 405 (amended April 12, 2015), as applicable

Applicable provisions include, but are not limited to:

- Section 1 – General
- Section 2 – Water Quality Monitoring
- Section 3 – Standards for Ground and Surface Water Data Evaluation and Reporting
- Section 4 – Leachate, Leachate Collection, Leachate Detection System and Leachate Treatment Residue Monitoring
- Section 5 – Standards for the Installation, Construction and Maintenance of Wells and Piezometers, and for the Advancement of Borings
- Section 6 – Solid Waste Characterization Program

JRL Expansion Landfill Expansion Application
S-020700-WD-BI-N and L-024251-TG-C-N
Pre-Hearing Conference February 9, 2016

Relevant Licensing Criteria - NRPA Standards

This list of relevant licensing criteria has been compiled by Department staff in summary form for ease of reference. The specific language in the statutes and rules supersedes the summary descriptions in this document. The parties should familiarize themselves with the following licensing criteria.

Natural Resources Protection Act. 38 MRS § 480-A thru § 480-JJ.

- § 480-B. Definitions
- § 480-C. Prohibitions
- § 480-D. Standards
 1. Existing uses. The activity will not unreasonably interfere with existing scenic, aesthetic, recreational, or navigational uses.
 2. Soil erosion. The activity will not cause unreasonable erosion of soil or sediment nor unreasonably inhibit the natural transfer of soil from the terrestrial to the marine or freshwater environment.
 3. Harm to habitats; fisheries. The activity will not unreasonably harm any significant wildlife habitat, freshwater wetland plant habitat, threatened or endangered plant habitat, aquatic or adjacent upland habitat, travel corridor, freshwater, estuarine or marine fisheries or other aquatic life.
 4. Interfere with natural water flow. The activity will not unreasonably interfere with the natural flow of any surface or subsurface waters.
 5. Lower water quality. The activity will not violate any state water quality law, including those governing the classification of the State's waters (38 MRS § 464).
 6. Flooding. The activity will not unreasonably cause or increase the flooding of the alteration area or adjacent properties.
 7. Sand or gravel supply. n/a
 8. Outstanding river segments. n/a
 9. Dredging. n/a
 10. Significant groundwater well. n/a
 11. Offshore wind project. n/a
- § 480-X. Alterations of freshwater wetlands.
- § 480-Z. Compensation. The Department may establish a program providing for compensation of unavoidable losses to an area listed in subsection 7 due to a proposed activity.
 - (7) Areas. Freshwater wetlands; coastal wetlands; significant vernal pool habitat; high and moderate value waterfowl and wading bird habitat, including nesting and feeding areas; and shorebird nesting, feeding and staging areas.
- § 480-BB. Significant wildlife habitat; major substantive rules.

Chapter 305. Permit by Rule. (19) Activities in, on or over significant vernal pool habitat. (June 8, 2012)

Chapter 310. Wetlands and Waterbodies Protection (January 26, 2009)

Chapter 315. Assessing and Mitigating Impacts to Existing Scenic and Aesthetic Uses (June 29, 2003)

Chapter 335. Significant Wildlife Habitat (January 7, 2014)

Other: US Fish & Wildlife and Army Corps' review of impacts to critical habitat of Atlantic Salmon and impacts to wetlands.

Board of Environmental Protection
Juniper Ridge Landfill Expansion: S-020700-WD-BI-N and L-024251-TG-C-N
Service List (rev. 01-28-2016)

**Filings with Board due by 5:00 pm to
James W. Parker c/o Ruth Ann Burke**

[BEP]

James W. Parker, Chair
Board of Environmental Protection
c/o Ruth Ann Burke
#17 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017
Phone: (207) 287-2811
Fax: (207) 287-2814
ruth.a.burke@maine.gov

Cynthia S. Bertocci
BEP Executive Analyst
#17 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017
Phone: (207) 287-2452
Fax: (207) 287-7641
cynthia.s.bertocci@maine.gov

[BEP legal counsel]

Emily Green
Assistant Attorney General
#6 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0006
Phone: (207) 626-8868
Email: emily.green@maine.gov

Mary Sauer
Assistant Attorney General
#6 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0006
Phone: (207) 626-8579
Email: mary.sauer@maine.gov

[DEP]

Leslie Anderson, Bureau Director
Dept. of Environmental Protection
#17 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017
Phone: (207) 287-7890
Email: leslie.anderson@maine.gov

David Burns, Division Director
Dept. of Environmental Protection
#17 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017
Phone: (207) 287-7166 (office)
(207) 592-9104 (cell)
Email: dave.e.burns@maine.gov

Victoria Eleftheriou
Dept. of Environmental Protection
#17 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017
Phone: (207) 287-6175
Email: victoria.eleftheriou@maine.gov

Kathy Tarbuck, Project Manager
Dept. of Environmental Protection
#17 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017
Phone: (207) 287-7743
Email: kathy.tarbuck@maine.gov

James Beyer
Dept. of Environmental Protection
106 Hogan Road, Suite 106
Bangor, ME 04401
Phone: (207) 446-9026
Email: jim.r.beyer@maine.gov

Lynn Caron
Dept. of Environmental Protection
106 Hogan Road, Suite 106
Bangor, ME 04401
Phone: (207) 446-1733
Email: lynn.a.caron@maine.gov

Board of Environmental Protection
Juniper Ridge Landfill Expansion: S-020700-WD-BI-N and L-024251-TG-C-N
Service List (rev. 01-28-2016)

[Applicant]

Michael Barden
Dept. of Economic & Comm. Dev.
#59 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333-0059
Phone: (207) 624-7436
Email: michael.barden@maine.gov

William H. Laubenstein, III
Assistant Attorney General
#6 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0006
Phone: (207) 626-8570
Fax: (207) 287-3145
Email: william.laubenstein@maine.gov

[NEWSME]

Thomas R. Doyle
Pierce Atwood
Merrill's Wharf
254 Commercial Street
Portland, Maine 04101
Phone: (207) 791-1214
Email: tdoyle@pierceatwood.com

Don Meagher
NEWSME Landfill Operations, LLC
2828 Bennoch Road
Old Town, Maine 04468
Phone: (207) 862-4200 ext. 230
Fax: (207) 862-4207
Email: don.meagher@casella.com

Michael Booth
Sevee & Maher Engineers, Inc.
P.O. Box 85A
Cumberland, Maine 04021
Phone: (207) 829-5016
Email: msb@smemaine.com

[Intervenor – City of Old Town]

William J. Mayo, Manager
City of Old Town
265 Main Street
Old Town, ME 04468
Phone: (207) 827-3965
Email: bmayo@old-town.org

Jim Katsiaficas
Perkins Thompson
One Canal Plaza
P.O. Box 426
Portland, ME 04112-0426
Phone: (207) 774-2635
Email:
jkatsiaficas@perkinsthompson.com

Denis St. Peter PE, President/CEO
CES, Inc.
465 S. Main Street
PO Box 639
Brewer, ME 04412
Phone: (207) 989-4824
Fax: (207) 989-4881
Email: dstpeter@ces-maine.com

[Other Intervenors]

Jesse Pekkala
Structural Engineers
P.O. Box 688
201 W. Colorado Ave.
Telluride, CO 81435
Phone: (970) 729-1809
Email: jesse@pekkalaeng.com

SSR, LLC
c/o Chip Laite
Sargent Corporation
Aggregate Resource Manager
P.O. Box 435
Stillwater, ME 04489
Phone: (207) 827-4435 x275 (office)
(207) 944-2785 (cell)
Email: claite@sargent-corp.com

Board of Environmental Protection
Juniper Ridge Landfill Expansion: S-020700-WD-BI-N and L-024251-TG-C-N
Service List (rev. 01-28-2016)

Dana Snowman
120 Old Stage Coach Road
Alton, ME 04468
Email: memonetary111183@gmail.com

Edward S. Spencer
P.O. Box 12
Stillwater, ME 04489
Phone: (207) 827-8359
Email: cjkspencer@gmail.com